Watershed Report

Upper East Fork White. Indiana.

Land Use

	Total (Ac.)	Crops (Ac.)	% of Total	Forest (Ac.)	% of Total	Water/Wetland (Ac.)	% of Total	Pasture/Hay (Ac.)	% of Total	Urban (Ac.)	% of Total	No Data (Ac.)	% of Total
Bartholomew	151,233	74,504	14.36	29,361	5.66	1,372	0.26	4,227	0.81	3,248	0.63	850	0.16
Brown	2,431	25	0.00	2,157	0.42	5	0.00	2	0.00	10	0.00	0	0.00
Decatur	182,145	112,677	21.72	16,961	3.27	193	0.04	2,290	0.44	1,634	0.32	13,877	2.68
<u>Jackson</u>	116,822	55,064	10.62	24,408	4.71	1,718	0.33	4,417	0.85	2,780	0.54	115	0.02
<u>Jennings</u>	45,582	17,791	3.43	15,221	2.93	30	0.01	1,826	0.35	353	0.07	19	0.00
Rush	14,753	12,874	2.48	274	0.05	1	0.00	67	0.01	14	0.00	20	0.00
Shelby	5,755	4,904	0.95	155	0.03	0	0.00	40	0.01	0	0.00	22	0.00
Totals	518,721	277,840	53.56	88,536	17.07	3,319	0.64	12,869	2.48	8,039	1.55	14,903	2.87

Data Source = National Ag Statistics Service, 2006, http://www.nass.usda.gov/research/Cropland/SARS1a.htm)

% Crop = Sum of the acres of corn, soybeans, wheat, other small grains, etc. divided by the total acres in the watershed.

% Pasture/Hay = Sum of the acres of pasture, hay, and idle land divided by the total acres in the watershed.

% Forest = Sum of the acres of forest land divided by the total acres in the watershed.

% Urban = Sum of the acres of residential and urban land divided by the total acres in the watershed.

% Water/Wetland = Sum of the acres of streams, lakes, ponds, etc. divided by the total acres in the watershed.

% Data Not Available = Sum of the acres of clouds on arial photographs divided by the total acres in the watershed.

	Pu	blic Lands
	Public Lands (Ac.)	% of Total
<u>Bartholomew</u>	314	0.06
<u>Brown</u>	1,705	0.33
<u>Decatur</u>	146	0.03
<u>Jackson</u>	4,427	0.85
<u>Jennings</u>	0	0.00
<u>Rush</u>	0	0.00
<u>Shelby</u>	0	0.00
Totals	6,592	1.27

Data Source = Indiana Department of Natural Resources (State-Managed Lands), 2004; Hoosier National Forest - U.S. Forest Service, 2004 and Patoka River USFWS, 2003 (Federal-Managed Lands)

% **Public** = Sum of the acres of federal, state, and local government land divided by the total acres in the watershed.

	Cropland Types													
	Crop (Ac.)	% of Total	Corn (Ac.)	% of Total	Wheat (Ac.)	% of Total	Other (Ac.)	% of Total	Hay (Ac.)	% of Total	Pasture/ Grass (Ac.)	% of Total		
Bartholomew	74,504	14.36	22,910	4.42	3,645	0.70	3,480	0.67	4,227	0.81	37,891	7.30		
Brown	25	0.00	15	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	2	0.00	234	0.05		
Decatur	112,677	21.72	43,100	8.31	3,290	0.63	4,160	0.80	2,290	0.44	32,895	6.34		
<u>Jackson</u>	55,064	10.62	14,710	2.84	5,824	1.12	2,982	0.57	4,417	0.85	27,371	5.28		
<u>Jennings</u>	17,791	3.43	4,116	0.79	1,895	0.37	1,029	0.20	1,826	0.35	10,553	2.03		
Rush	12,874	2.48	5,479	1.06	304	0.06	165	0.03	67	0.01	1,459	0.28		
<u>Shelby</u>	4,904	0.95	2,314	0.45	71	0.01	69	0.01	40	0.01	602	0.12		
Totals	277,840	53.56	92,643	17.86	15,030	2.90	11,885	2.29	12,869	2.48	111,006	21.40		

Data Source = National Ag Statistics Service, 2006, http://www.nass.usda.gov/research/Cropland/SARS1a.htm)

% Corn = Acres of corn divided by the sum of all row crop, hay, and pasture acres in the watershed.

% Beans = Acres of soybeans + double-crop soybeans/wheat divided by the sum of all row crop, hay, and pasture acres in the

% Wheat = Acres of wheat divided by the sum of all row crop, hay, and pasture acres in the watershed.

% Other Row Crop = Difference of the sum of the acres of corn, soybeans, wheat, hay, and pasture minus total cropland acres in the watershed divided by total crop, hay, and pasture acres in the watershed.

% Hay = Acres of hay divided by the sum of all row crop, hay, and pasture acres in the watershed.

% Pasture = Acres of pasture divided by the sum of all row crop, hay, and pasture acres in the watershed.

Ac. = Acres

% = Percent

T & E = Threatened and Endangered

CFO = Confined Feeding Operation

CAFO = Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation

AU = Animal Units

Ft. = Feet

= Number

Mi. = Miles

	Beef and Swine Processing									
	Beef Plants Beef Animals Swine Plants Swine Animals									
Bartholomew	0	0	1	507						
Brown	0	0	0	0						
<u>Decatur</u>	2	1,624	2	1,521						
<u>Jackson</u>	0	0	0	0						
<u>Jennings</u>	0	0	0	0						
Rush	0	0	0	0						
Shelby	0	0	0	0						
Totals	2	1,624	3	2,028						

Data Source = Indiana Board of Animal Health, 2006 (Slaughter Processing), http://www.in.gov/boah/food safety/inspection/meat poulty.html>

	Confined Livestock 2006													
	CAFO/CFO		iry Animals		eef Animals	Sv Farms	wine Animals	Po Farms	ultry Animals	She Farms	eep Animals			
<u>Bartholomew</u>	4	0	0	2	550	2	2,257	0	0	0	0			
<u>Brown</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Decatur	67	2	2,412	7	830	64	157,818	0	0	0	0			
<u>Jackson</u>	16	0	0	2	350	9	36,037	6	5,157,842	0	0			
<u>Jennings</u>	2	0	0	0	0	2	6,970	0	0	0	0			
<u>Rush</u>	5	0	0	0	0	5	20,995	0	0	0	0			
Shelby	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Totals	94	2	2,412	11	1,730	82	224,077	6	5,157,842	0	0			

Data Source = Indiana Department of Environmental Management, Office of Land Quality, 2007, http://www.state.in.us/idem/agriculture/livestock/cfo/index.html
Confined Animal Feeding Operation (CAFO) = (U. S. Environmental Protection Agency definition) Operations with at least one of the following: 200 dairy cows; 300 veal calves; 300 beef cattle; 750 swine 55 pounds or more; 3000 swine under 55 pounds; 150 horses; 3000 sheep or lambs; 16,500 turkeys; 9000 chickens (liquid manure); 25,000 chickens - laying hens (not liquid manure); 37,500 chickens - laying hens (not liquid manure); 1000 ducks (not liquid manure); or 10,000 ducks (not liquid manure).
Confined Feeding Operation (CFO) = (Indiana Department of Environmental Management definition) = Operations with at least one of the following: 300 cattle; 600 swine and the same a or sheep; or 30,000 poultry.

Biofuel Plants Ethanol Biodiesel Bartholomew 0 Brown 0 Decatur <u>Jackson</u> <u>Jennings</u> 0 0 Rush 0 0 Shelby 0 0 Totals

Data Source = Indiana Department of Transportation, 2006 (Biofuels Processing),

http://www.in.gov/isda/biofuels/

Surface and	Groundwater	Resource	Concern	Areas

	Impaired Streams (Mi.)	Impaired Lakes (Ac.)	Wellhead Protection (Ac.)	Karst (Ac.)	% Karst
Bartholomew	44.21	0	2,578	796	0.15
<u>Brown</u>	0.00	0	0	0	0.00
Decatur	48.01	0	795	25,163	4.85
<u>lackson</u>	60.31	0	3,550	468	0.09
<u>lennings</u>	0.00	0	0	32,609	6.29
<u>Rush</u>	7.64	0	0	0	0.00
Shelby	0.00	0	0	0	0.00
Totals	160.17	0	6,922	59,036	11.38

Data Source (Impaired Water Bodies) = Indiana Department of Environmental Management 303(d) List, http://www.state.in.us/idem/programs/water/303d/index.html
303(d)-listed streams = are impaired waterbodies that have been identified by IDEM as exceeding threshold limits of specific

Data Source (Wellhead Protection Areas) = Indiana Department of Environmental Management, http://www.in.gov/idem/programs/water/swp/whpp/>

Data Source (Karst) = Karst Data, 2002, Indiana NRCS, data unpublished

Ac. = Acres

% = Percent

T & E = Threatened and Endangered

CFO = Confined Feeding Operation

CAFO = Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation

AU = Animal Units

Ft. = Feet

= Number

Mi. = Miles

Soils-Based Resource Concerns and Analyses

	Hydric (Ac.)	%	Leaching Index >= 10 (Ac.)	%	Subsurface Drainage= H/VH (Ac.)	%	Soil Erosion (Wind) >500 (Ac.)		Potential for Frequent Flooding (Ac.)	%	Surface Runoff Class =H/VH (Ac.)	%	Soil Erosion (Water) >37 (Ac.)	%	Sheet/Rill Erosion Potential Between 1T & 2T (Ac.)	%	Sheet/Rill Erosion Potential >=2 (Ac.)	%
<u>Bartholomew</u>	19,603	3.78	72,362	13.95	376	0.07	0	0.00	20,481	3.95	39,496	7.61	51,813	9.99	8,054	1.55	15,695	3.03
<u>Brown</u>	0	0.00	154	0.03	0	0.00	0	0.00	1	0.00	1,996	0.38	2,269	0.44	721	0.14	1,092	0.21
<u>Decatur</u>	33,999	6.55	84,364	16.26	94,046	18.13	0	0.00	16,816	3.24	42,646	8.22	44,667	8.61	19,199	3.70	7,660	1.48
<u>Jackson</u>	15,149	2.92	78,162	15.07	751	0.14	11,731	2.26	41,032	7.91	20,620	3.98	28,461	5.49	5,869	1.13	12,492	2.41
<u>Jennings</u>	9,526	1.84	17,843	3.44	0	0.00	0	0.00	5,424	1.05	14,024	2.70	24,632	4.75	8,426	1.62	5,523	1.06
Rush	3,136	0.60	11,797	2.27	7,611	1.47	0	0.00	826	0.16	2,945	0.57	1,342	0.26	102	0.02	0	0.00
<u>Shelby</u>	1,332	0.26	166	0.03	4,551	0.88	0	0.00	122	0.02	1,085	0.21	601	0.12	75	0.01	21	0.00
Totals	82,745	15.95	264,848	51.06	107,335	20.69	11,731	2.26	84,702	16.33	122,812	23.68	153,785	29.65	42,446	8.18	42,483	8.19

Data Source (Hydric Soils) = NRCS Soil Data Mart (2007) - http://soildatamart.nrcs.usda.gov/. A soil mapunit was considered hydric if a majority of its component soils is hydric.

Data Source (Sheet/Rill Erosion Potential) = NRCS Soil Data Mart, 2007, http://soildatamart.nrcs.usda.gov/ and the Revised Universal Soil Loss Equation, Version 2 (RUSLE2). Erosion potential is based on the RUSLE2 calculation for the soil with a "C" Factor equal to that of a typical cropland management system used in Indiana (no-till soybeans, followed by chisel-plowed corn with an injected anhydrous application). Soils under this management system between 1 and 2 times of tolerable limits are eroding above sustainable levels; soils under this management systems that leave more residue on the surface, those with less soil disturbance, crop rotations with higher-residue crops, etc. will decrease soil erosion compared to those under the typical cropland system. Management systems that leave less residue, disturb the soil more, and those with crop rotation with lower-residue crops may increase soil erosion above the typical cropland system.

Data Source (Leach Index, Wind Erosion, Water Erosion, Flood Potential, and Surface and Subsurface Drainage) = NRCS Soil Data Mart, 2007, https://soildatamart.nrcs.usda.gov/ and the NRCS Indiana Nutrient Management (590) Standard (Section IV of the Indiana Electronic Field Office Technical Guide (eFOTG)) https://efotg.nrcs.usda.gov/efotg-locator.aspx?map=IN. NOTE: Because climatic and other data elements may be county-based, thresholds.

Hydric soils = Characterized by, relating to, or requiring an abundance of water, hydric soils are indicators of wetlands, which represent unique management considerations including groundwater impacts, crop production limitations, wildlife considerations etc.

Leach Index = soils with a relatively high risk of water percolating below the crop root zone; developed using annual precipitation, rainfall distribution data and hydrologic soil groups. **Subsurface Drainage** = soils with a relatively high risk of having subsurface drainage; determined from a matrix based on soil drainage class and depth to seasonal high water, and the presence of artificial subsurface drainage and surface tile inlets.

Soil Erosion (Wind) = soils with a relatively high risk of eroding by wind; determined from a location's C (Climate) Factor and a soil's Soil Erodibility Index (I).

Flooding Potential = soils with a relatively frequent risk of being covered by flowing water from any source; determined from the NRCS soil survey.

Surface Runoff Class = soils with a relatively high relative risk of soil solution movement from the surface of a management unit; determined using soil permeability and percent slope.

Soil Erosion (Water) = soils with a relatively high risk of eroding by water; determined from a location's R (Rainfall-Runoff Erosivity) Factor, and a soil's K (Soil Erodibility) and LS (Length-Slope) factors.

				,	Water Re	esources				
		Standing Water (Ac.)	Streams (Mi.)	1st Order (Mi.)	2nd Order (Mi.)	3rd Order (Mi.)	4th Order (Mi.)	5th Order (Mi.)	6th+ Order (Mi.)	Stream Order Unavailable (Mi.)
Bartho	olomew	787	170.99	94.85	35.21	25.35	1.02	14.56	0.00	0.00
Brown	<u>1</u>	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Decat	<u>ur</u>	225	198.62	124.71	38.31	24.73	10.88	0.00	0.00	0.00
Jackso	<u>on</u>	502	173.08	99.50	14.51	11.48	2.69	42.61	0.00	2.29
<u>Jennir</u>	<u>ngs</u>	34	72.82	35.94	10.00	0.20	26.69	0.00	0.00	0.00
Rush		0	12.67	6.65	6.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Shelb	¥	0	4.63	4.63	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Total	s	1,548	632.81	366.28	104.05	61.76	41.28	57.16	0.00	2.29

Data Source = National Hydrography Data - U.S. Geological Survey, 2006, http://www.horizon-systems.com/nhdplus/

Stream Order = A hierarchal stream classification system. The confluence of two first order streams forms a second order stream; the confluence of two second order streams forms a third order stream; etc. Generally, larger order streams (such as the Ohio or Mississippi Rivers) have more volume, depth and channel width. They also are located in the lower reaches of watersheds. First order streams (unforked or unbranched streams) are in the upper reaches of watersheds.

Air Resource Concern Areas

١		% of
١		Watershed
	<u>Bartholomew</u>	0.00
١	<u>Brown</u>	0.00
ı,	Decatur	0.00
	<u>Jackson</u>	22.50
ı	Jennings	0.00
	Rush	0.00
١	<u>Shelby</u>	1.11
ľ	Totals	23.61

Data Source = Environmental Protection Agency, 2006, data no longer published. 2007 data is available

<http://www.epa.gov/air/data/nonat.html?st~IN~India
na>.

Ac. = Acres

% = Percent

T & E = Threatened and Endangered

CFO = Confined Feeding Operation

CAFO = Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation

AU = Animal Units

Ft. = Feet

= Number Mi. = Miles

Unique Habitat Areas

Ac. Within % of Watershed Range of Known Within Range of T & E Species Known T & E Species	Natural Communities (Ac.)	Permanent Easement (Ac.)	% of Watershed in Permanent Easement
---	---------------------------------	--------------------------------	--------------------------------------

2.20 26,315 5.07

Data Source (Threatened & Endangered Species and Natural Communities) = Indiana Department of Natural Resources, Division of Nature Preserves; Analysis by NRCS, 2007, data source is not public. Habitat ranges indicate the likely life-history range surrounding known locations of threatened & endangered species (state and federal listed) that have the potential to be used by the species (ranges for plants = point - 0 miles; amphibians/reptiles/insects/aquatic species = 1/4 - 1/2 mile; mammals/birds = 1 mile).

Data Source (Natural Communities) = Areas identified and classified by the IDNR as unique/rare (data include the Natural Community acreage + ¼ mile buffer), data not published.

Data Source (Permanent Easements) = Indiana NRCS (Wetlands Reserve Program), 2007, data not published

	Farm Census Data											
	Farms	Farms <10 Ac.	Farms <50 Ac.	Farms <180 Ac.	Farms <500 Ac.	Farms <1000 Ac.	Farms >1000 Ac.	Minority Farmers	Full Time Farmers	Part Time Farmers		
<u>Bartholomew</u>	357	41	111	91	61	26	28	5	69	158		
<u>Brown</u>	3	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1		
<u>Decatur</u>	510	35	114	136	131	56	38	8	94	198		
<u>Jackson</u>	285	19	86	78	54	33	15	2	42	117		
<u>Jennings</u>	132	8	52	43	16	8	5	1	17	60		
Rush	31	2	6	7	7	5	3	0	6	11		
Shelby	13	1	4	3	2	1	1	0	2	5		
Totals	1,331	106	374	359	271	129	90	16	231	550		

Data Source = National Ag Statistics Service 2002 Census of Agriculture (http://www.nass.usda.gov/census/census/2volume1/in/index2.htm). Estimates for each watershed were derived from county values based on the percentage of each county in the watershed.

NRCS Practices

Year:	Vegetative Agronomic Practices (Ac.)	No Till (Ac.)	Mulch Till (Ac.)	Upland Buffers (Ft.)	Aquatic Buffers (Ac.)	Grazing Practices (Ac.)	Nutrient Mgt. (Ac.)	Pest Mgt. (Ac.)	Irrigation (Ac.)	CNMPs (#)	Gully Erosion Control (Ac.)	Gully Control Structures (#)	Wildlife Habitat (Ac.)	Forestry Practices (Ac.)	Confined Livestock Waste Storage (#)	Wetland Practices (Ac.)
2007	383	7,429	6,284	10,085	233	566	15,111	12,148	154	1	42	15	1,617	128	1	0
2006	0	2,706	1,690	0	0	193	0	4,284	Ö	6	0	Ö	2,471	62	0	8
2005	0	14,849	7,602	25,370	230	339	0	11,841	0	3	0	0	1,068	391	0	13
2004	0	12,353	6,079	0	232	460	0	0	0	0	0	0	277	269	0	0
2003	0	7,001	417	2,000	202	138	0	3,105	0	5	0	0	239	237	0	5
2002	0	4,764	348	10,455	207	26	0	2,460	0	1	0	0	351	110	0	0

Data Source = NRCS Performance Results System Reports, 2007, http://ias.sc.egov.usda.gov/prshome/index.aspx.

Vegetative Agronomic Practices = Acres of Conservation Cover (327) + 342 (Critical Area Planting) + 340 (Cover Crops) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

Upland Buffers = Feet of Field Border (386) + Windbreak/Shelterbelt Establishment (380) + Hedgerow Planting (422) + Windbreak/Shelterbelt Renovation (650) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

Aquatic Buffers = Acres of Filter Strips (393) + Riparian Forest Buffers (391) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

Grazing Practices = Acres of Prescribed Grazing (528 and 528A) + Pasture and Hayland Planting (512) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

Nutrient Mgmt = Acres of Nutrient Management (590) + Waste Utilization (633) practices installed in the given fiscal year. **Pest Mgmt** = Acres of Pest Management (595) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

Irrigation = Acres of Irrigation System, Microirrigation (441) + Irrigation System, Sprinkler (442) + Irrigation System, Syste

CNMPs = Number of Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plans written in the given fiscal year.

Gully Control - grassed waterways = Acres of Grassed Waterway (412) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

Gully Control - other = Acres of Grade Stabilization Structure (410) + Water and Sediment Control Basin (638) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

Wildlife habitat = Acres of Upland Wildlife Habitat Management (645) + Wetland Wildlife Habitat Management (647) + Restoration and Management of Rare and Declining Habitats (653) + Early Successional Habitat Development/Management (647) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

Forestry Practices = Acres of Tree/Shrub Establishment (612) + Forest Stand Improvement (666) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

Confined Livestock Waste Storage Facilities = Number of Waste Storage Facility (313) + Composting Facility (317) + Waste Treatment Lagoon (359) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

Wetland Practices = Acres of Wetland Restoration (657) + Wetland Creation (658) + Wetland Enhancement (659) practices installed in the given fiscal year.

Ac = Acres

% = Percent

T & E = Threatened and Endangered

CFO = Confined Feeding Operation

CAFO = Concentrated Animal Feeding Operation

AU = Animal Units

Ft. = Feet

= Number

Mi. = Miles